

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Authors</i>	xiii

INTRODUCTION. THE ECHR AND THE EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LANDSCAPE: REASSESSING PARADIGMS

Giorgio REPETTO.....	1
1. The ECHR and the Idea of ‘Constitutional Relevance’.....	1
2. The ECHR’S Constitutional Dimension in Domestic Law.....	7
3. The Trans-European Constitutional Relevance of the ECHR.....	9
4. The ‘Italian’ Perspective.....	12
5. Outline of Chapters.....	13

PART I. ESTABLISHING A CONSTITUTIONAL DIMENSION FOR THE ECHR IN DOMESTIC LAW

PART I.A. THE RENEWING OF A CONSTITUTIONAL CULTURE: THE ECHR IN ITALIAN DOMESTIC LAW.....	23
---	----

The Constitutional Background of the 2007 Revolution. The Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court

Diletta TEGA.....	25
1. The Value of the European Convention on Human Rights in the System of National Sources: Doctrinal Reconstructions.....	25
2. The Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court.....	27
2.1. The First Phase: A Traditional Dualism.....	29
2.2. The Second Phase: A More ‘Modern’ Dualism.....	31
2.3. The Third Phase: A Duality ‘In Transformation’.....	33

Rethinking a Constitutional Role for the ECHR. The Dilemmas of Incorporation into Italian Domestic Law

Giorgio REPETTO.....	37
1. The New Ranking of the ECHR and the Shift to ‘Constitutional Dualism’.....	37
2. The Constitutional Background of the 2007 Revolution.....	41

2.1. 'Abstractness' and 'Embeddedness' in Constitutional Adjudication .	41
2.2. The Model of the ECHR as 'Interposed Rule' and its Institutional Underpinnings	44
3. The Quest for Substantial Interaction Between Constitutional and Conventional Guarantees	45
4. 'Italian Style' and the ECHR: The Current Situation.	48
5. The Incorporation of the ECHR: A Matter of Constitutional Theory? . . .	51

Strasbourg Jurisprudence as an Input for 'Cultural Evolution' in Italian Judicial Practice

Andrea GUZZAROTTI	55
1. Strasbourg Jurisprudence and 'Culture' of Judicial Precedent in Italy. . . .	55
2. Comparing the Reporting System of the Strasbourg and Italian Jurisprudence.	56
3. The ECHR and the 'Ad Hoc Balancing Delegated to Courts'.	58
4. The 'Concreteness' of the Tests Provided by the European Court of Human Rights.	61
5. Distinguishing and Decisions No. 348 and No. 349 of 2007 of the Italian Constitutional Court.	62
6. The <i>Agrati</i> Case, or the Failure of the Italian Way to Manipulate Precedents	65
7. Conclusion	67

PART I.B. THE MOST DANGEROUS BREACH? THE RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL AND THE QUEST FOR EFFECTIVENESS. 69

The Strasbourg Court's Influence on the Italian Criminal Trial

Mariangela MONTAGNA	71
1. The Dialogue Between Courts and the Protection of Fundamental Rights, Between Changing Roles and New Outlooks of Interpretation. . .	71
2. Trial <i>In Absentia</i> and Remedies	72
2.1. Pressures From the European Court of Human Rights.	73
2.2. 'Internal' Solutions: The Legislature's Action	74
2.3. Action by the Constitutional Court	75
3. Right to a Public Hearing	78

The ECHR's Influence on the Italian Regulation of the Administrative Trial. The Right to an Independent and Impartial Tribunal

Marta MENGOZZI	83
1. Introduction: the Right to a Fair Trial in the Convention System	83
2. The Guarantee of the Judge's Impartiality and the Various Outcomes of the Dialogue Between Legal Systems	85

3.	A Case of Clear ECHR Influence: the So-called Force of Prevention	86
4.	A Case of Extremely Complex Dialogue: The Simultaneous Presence of Consultative and Jurisdictional Functions in the Bodies of Administrative Justice	90
5.	A Case of Missed Dialogue: Non-judicial Positions Held by Administrative Magistrates	93
6.	Conclusion	94

PART I.C. ECHR IN NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONS: COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVES 97

Toward a Convergence Between the EU and ECHR Legal Systems?

A Comparative Perspective

Oreste POLLICINO	99
----------------------------	----

1.	The Post-Enlargement Aggressive Phase of the European Court of Human Rights	99
2.	The Opposite Post-Enlargement Reaction of the Court of Justice of the European Union	101
3.	The National Judicial Treatment of the Supranational Laws. Confirmation or Denial of the Convergence Process Identified at the European Level?	109

National Constitutions and the ECHR. Comparative Remarks in Light of Germany's Experience

Alessandra DI MARTINO	119
---------------------------------	-----

1.	Introduction	119
2.	The German Federal Constitutional Tribunal and the European Court of Human Rights	120
	2.1. The <i>Görgülü-Beschluß</i>	121
	2.2. The <i>Caroline-Urteil II</i>	123
	2.3. The Judgment on Preventive Detention	125
3.	Comparative Remarks	127
	3.1. The ECHR in the Italian Legal Order	127
	3.2. Non-Application and Construction of Ordinary Law in Harmony with the Convention	128
	3.3. Balancing and Reasonability Tests	129
	3.4. The Impact of the ECHR and Related Case Law on National Courts and Legislators	132
4.	Conclusion	134

PART II. INNER AND OUTER BOUNDARIES: THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS WITH CONTRACTING STATES AND WITH THE EU

PART II.A. JUST DEFERENCE? THE MULTIPLE FACETS OF THE DOCTRINE OF MARGIN OF APPRECIATION 137

Teaching of Religion and Margin of Appreciation. The Reluctant Liberalism of the Strasbourg Court

Alberto VESPAZIANI 139

1. The Doctrine of the Margin of Appreciation 139
2. The *Folgerø v. Norway* Case 141
3. The *Hasan and Eylem Zengin v. Turkey* Case 143
4. The Selective Liberalism of the Strasbourg Court 144

The Crucifix and the Margin of Appreciation

Ilenia RUGGIU 149

1. A Constitutional Conversation over a Religious Symbol 149
2. A Brief History of the ‘Crucifix Issue’ in Italy 150
3. Does the Use of the Margin of Appreciation Limit the Convention’s Rights? 152
4. What are the Conditions for Using the Margin of Appreciation? 154
5. Was there an Alternative to the Margin of Appreciation? 155

The Unbearable Lightness of the Margin of Appreciation: ECHR and ‘Bio-Law’

Antonello CIERVO 159

1. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in ECHR Case Law on ‘Bio-Law’: General Profiles of Reconstruction 159
2. State Margin of Appreciation and Beginning of Life Issues 161
3. State Margin of Appreciation and End of Life Issues 167
4. Concluding Remarks on the Role of the Strasbourg Court on the Sidelines of the ECJ Judgment *Brüstle v. Greenpeace* 170

Histories, Traditions and Contexts in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights

Andrea BURATTI 173

1. The Problem of the Use of History in Strasbourg’s Jurisprudence 173
2. Historical Argument in Strasbourg’s Jurisprudence 175
 - 2.1. Historical Contextualisation and Constitutional Tolerance 175

2.2. The Insufficiency of Historical Argument (<i>Sejdić and Finci v. Bosnia-Herzegovina</i>)	177
2.3. The Flight From the Communist Past	179
2.4. Principle of Secularism and Historical Traditions	181
2.5. The Use of Historical Argument: Open Questions	183
3. Denying Historical Truth: An Abuse of Law	184
4. Towards a Conclusion: Protection of Historical Traditions or Critical Historical Method?	187

PART II.B. COOPERATION IN NEED OF COORDINATION: EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE EU	189
--	-----

Immigrants’ Family Life in the Rulings of the European Supranational Courts

Gianluca BASCHERINI	191
1. Introduction	191
2. The ECtHR’s Jurisprudence on Immigrants’ Right to Private and Family Life. The Progressive but Oscillating Enlargement of the Protection Afforded by Article 8	192
3. The Jurisprudence of the ECJ: Is the Family Going to Market?	197
4. Conclusions. Movement of People, Movement of Law	201

Cooperation in Relations Between the ECJ and the ECtHR

Angelo SCHILLACI	205
1. Separation and Cooperation	205
2. The Opening up of the ECJ and the Comparative Method	208
3. EU Law in ECtHR Case Law: Resistance and (Laboured) Opening	210
4. The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in the ECtHR’S Case Law	212
5. EUCFR and ‘Horizontal Clauses’ in the ECJ Case Law: Towards Another Centre of Gravity?	214
6. Conclusion	217

The EU and its Member States Before the Strasbourg Court. A Critical Appraisal of the Co-Respondent Mechanism

Simone VEZZANI	221
1. Preliminary Remarks on the EU Accession to the ECHR: The Problem of Shared Responsibility	221
2. The Co-Respondent Mechanism as Envisaged in the Draft Accession Agreement	225
2.1. Exclusion of <i>Intervention Forcée</i>	227

2.2. Admissibility Criteria for Applications	231
2.3. Prior Involvement of the ECJ	232
3. Conclusion	235

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Constitutional Relevance of the ECHR in Domestic and European Law.

General Assessments

Cesare PINELLI	239
1. ‘Constitutional Justice’ with Reference to the Strasbourg Court: A Preliminary Assessment	239
2. Two Versions of ‘Formalism’	241
3. The Parallel Evolution of the Strasbourg Court and the Constitutional Courts	243
4. Is the ECtHR Challenging the National Systems of Constitutional Adjudication?	245
5. How Ordered is ‘Ordered Pluralism’? A Tentative Approach	248
6. Examples from the Strasbourg Case Law	249