

SCRITTI DI DIRITTO PRIVATO EUROPEO
ED INTERNAZIONALE

IO

Direttori

Ilaria QUEIROLO

Università degli Studi di Genova

Alberto Maria BENEDETTI

Università degli Studi di Genova

Comitato scientifico

Maria Caterina BARUFFI

Università degli Studi di Verona

Sergio Maria CARBONE

Università degli Studi di Genova

Vincenzo ROPPO

Università degli Studi di Genova

Claudio SCOGNAMIGLIO

Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata"

Pietro SIRENA

Università degli Studi di Siena

Ilaria VIARENGO

Università degli Studi di Milano

Comitato editoriale

Francesca BARTOLINI

Università degli Studi di Genova

Laura CARPANETO

Università degli Studi di Genova

Maria Elena DE MAESTRI

Università degli Studi di Genova

Mauro GRONDONA

Università degli Studi di Genova

Francesco PESCE

Università degli Studi di Genova

SCRITTI DI DIRITTO PRIVATO EUROPEO ED INTERNAZIONALE

Diritto privato, diritto europeo e diritto internazionale rivelano intrecci via via più significativi, chiamando docenti e studiosi dei diversi settori scientifici a confrontarsi e a collaborare sempre più intensamente. Da tale proficua osmosi scientifica origina il progetto della nuova collana *Scritti di diritto privato europeo e internazionale*, con la quale si persegue l'obiettivo di raccogliere opere scientifiche – a carattere monografico e collettaneo – su temi di attualità in un'ottica interdisciplinare e in una prospettiva di valorizzazione della stretta connessione tra le discipline coinvolte. Tale obiettivo trova un riscontro nelle specifiche competenze dei Direttori e dei membri del Comitato scientifico.

In "Scritti di diritto privato europeo ed internazionale" sono pubblicate opere di alto livello scientifico, anche in lingua straniera per facilitarne la diffusione internazionale.

I Direttori approvano le opere e le sottopongono a referaggio con il sistema del «doppio cieco» («*double blind peer review process*») nel rispetto dell'anonimato sia dell'autore, sia dei due revisori che scelgono, di comune accordo, l'uno esterno al Comitato scientifico e l'altro all'interno dello stesso Comitato, in funzione di revisore interno.

I revisori rivestono o devono aver rivestito la qualifica di professore universitario di prima fascia nelle università italiane o una qualifica equivalente nelle università straniere.

Ciascun revisore formulerà una delle seguenti valutazioni:

- a) pubblicabile senza modifiche;
- b) pubblicabile previo apporto di modifiche;
- c) da rivedere in maniera sostanziale;
- d) da rigettare;

tenendo conto dei seguenti criteri: a) significatività del tema nell'ambito disciplinare prescelto e originalità dell'opera; b) rilevanza scientifica nel panorama nazionale e internazionale; c) attenzione adeguata alla dottrina e all'apparato critico; d) adeguato aggiornamento normativo e giurisprudenziale; e) rigore metodologico; f) proprietà di linguaggio e fluidità del testo; g) uniformità dei criteri redazionali.

Nel caso di giudizio discordante fra i due revisori, la decisione finale sarà assunta di comune accordo dai Direttori, salvo casi particolari nei quali i Direttori medesimi provvedano a nominare tempestivamente un terzo revisore cui rimettere la valutazione dell'elaborato. Le schede di valutazione verranno conservate, in doppia copia, in appositi archivi.

Stefano Dominelli

**Party Autonomy and Insurance Contracts
in Private International Law**

A European Gordian Knot



Copyright © MMXVI
ARACNE editrice int.le S.r.l.

www.aracneeditrice.it
info@aracneeditrice.it

via Quarto Negroni, 15
00040 Ariccia (RM)
(06) 93781065

ISBN 978-88-548-9140-1

*No part of this book may be reproduced
by print, photoprint, microfilm, microfiche, or any other means,
without publisher's authorization.*

I edition: april 2016

An meine Familie

ai Quinti

Index

Preface: The scope of the investigation..... Page 13

Chapter I

The effects of a fragmented system of private and procedural international law on party autonomy in insurance contracts

1. Introduction.....Page 19
2. Introductory remarks on the original and inherent international nature of insurance contracts and party autonomy in medieval times..... » 20
3. The coexistence of different systems of private and procedural international law... » 34
4. ... and the effects of such fragmentation on insurance activities... » 46
5. The coexistence of different systems of private international law and the distortion of party autonomy on the choice of the applicable law... » 49
6. The emergence of a European procedural and private international law “system”... » 57
7. The “Europeanization” of the emerged para-European “system” of private and procedural international law... » 78

Chapter II

Party autonomy in private and procedural international law matters: Large risks insurance contracts and international civil procedure

1. Introduction.....Page 95
2. The definition of “large risks” in European Union law..... » 96
3. Freedom of contract and party autonomy in private and procedural international law between liberalism, legal paternalism and social justice theories » 107
4. International jurisdiction in insurance matters: some preliminary remarks... » 124
5. The temporal scope of application of the Brussels I bis Regulation: a proposal for a new provision on the institution of proceedings » 130
6. The scope of application *ratione personarum* of the Brussels I bis Regulation..... » 137
7. The international character of the proceeding..... » 146

8. The material scope of application of the Brussels I bis Regulation in insurance matters » 149
9. Large risks and choice of court agreements in the Brussels I bis Regulation » 153

Chapter III

Party autonomy in private and procedural international law matters: The law applicable to large risk insurance contracts

1. Introduction Page 175
2. Conflict of laws rules before the entry into force of the Rome I Regulation » 176
3. Elements of contemporary fragmentation in conflict of laws » 184
4. Art. 7 (2) of the Rome I Regulation: the law applicable to large risk insurance contracts. The notion of «contract» and mutual assistance..... » 189
5. (*Continues*): the notion of «law» » 195
6. The *electio iuris* and its validity in the framework of the Rome I Regulation» 211
7. The silent *electio iuris* in the framework of the Rome I Regulation..... » 219
8. Party autonomy and *dépeçage* » 226
9. Limits to party autonomy in private international law: art. 3 of the Rome I Regulation..... » 231
10. Limits to party autonomy in private international law: art. 9 of the Rome I Regulation..... » 239
11. The scope of application of the law chosen by the parties..... » 251
12. The law applicable to large risk insurance contracts when a choice of law is absent » 253

Chapter IV

Mass risk insurance contracts and the protection of the contractually weaker party in private and procedural international law: The rules on jurisdiction

1. Introduction Page 259
2. The multi-level system of protection within the European Union » 260
3. The importance of the protection of contractually weaker parties and European Union fundamental freedoms » 263
4. The territorial scope of application of the protection of the contractually weaker parties in insurance matters in the framework of the Brussels I bis Regulation and its (possible) tensions with the principle of non-discrimination » 265

5. The broad notion of weaker parties in insurance matters and its relevance for the application of Chapter II, Section III Brussels I bis Regulation..... » 278
6. The notion of “insurance” in the context of the Brussels I bis Regulation..... » 283
7. The active fora of the weaker party under art. 11 (1) of the Brussels I bis Regulation » 285
8. Jurisdiction under art. 11 (2) of the Brussels I bis Regulation » 295
9. Heads of jurisdiction for liability insurance contracts » 296
10. Jurisdiction based upon uncontested appearance..... » 304
11. The action of the insurer brought against the weaker party » 309
12. The injured party as a weaker party » 313
13. Prorogation of jurisdiction in mass risk insurance contracts..... » 320

Chapter V

Mass risk insurance contracts and the protection of the contractually weaker party in private and procedural international law: The applicable law

1. Introduction.....*Page* 333
2. Art. 7 of the Rome I Regulation and its possible tensions with the principle of non-discrimination..... » 334
3. The law applicable to mass risk insurance contracts whose risks are located in the territory of an EU Member State: art. 7 (3) (a) of the Rome I Regulation » 337
4. (*Continues*)...: art. 7 (3) (b)..... » 345
5. (*Continues*)...: life assurance contracts » 348
6. (*Continues*)...: letter (d)..... » 354
7. (*Continues*)...: letter (e)..... » 358
8. The influence of domestic laws on the protection of contractually weaker parties in insurance matters » 361
9. The law applicable to mass risk insurance contracts when a choice of law is absent..... » 364
10. The law applicable to compulsory insurance contracts..... » 367
11. Insurance consumer contracts in the Rome I Regulation » 372

Chapter VI

Recognition and enforcement of foreign decisions in insurance matters

1. Party autonomy and recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments.....*Page* 377

2.	The relevant autonomous definitions of “court of a Member State” and of “judgment”	» 383
3.	Recognition and enforcement: the abolition of <i>exequatur</i>	» 388
4.	Exceptions to recognition and enforcement	» 401
5.	Public policy (art. 45 (1) (a))	» 405
6.	Right to defence in default proceedings (art. 45 (1) (b))	» 421
7.	Irreconcilable judgments (art. 45 (1) (c), and (d))	» 430
8.	Breach of European rules on exclusive jurisdiction and protection of contractually weaker parties: gaps and issues in the protection of the insured at the stage of enforcement of foreign judgments	» 438

Chapter VII

Insurance contracts and private international law: A Gordian knot

1.	Categorizing the issues of the European rules of private and procedural international law in insurance matters	Page 445
2.	Party autonomy in private and procedural international law: a critique to the promoted protection of the “contractually weaker dummy” in light of European substantive provisions	» 447
3.	The “label-based system of protection”: a critique to possible abuses by “formal weaker parties”	» 453
4.	The “label-based system of protection”: a critique to the democratic deficit limiting party autonomy of “active policyholders”	» 457
5.	The “label-based system of protection”: a critique of its (in)consistency with European private international law principles underpinning consumer contracts	» 459
6.	The “label-based system of protection”: a critique of its (in)consistency with European private international law principles underpinning “relationships between insurers”	» 463
7.	A critique of the (post-modern?) paternalistic approach of the European private international law rules in insurance matters	» 470
8.	Insurance contracts and party autonomy in European private international law: slackening the Gordian knot	» 476
	Case law	Page 489
	Bibliography	Page 505